

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,098	05/03/2002	Shogo Ishioka	011713	5721	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER		
			ROSEN, NICHOLAS D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	<b>S</b>	3625		
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	,		02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/030,098	ISHIOKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nicholas D. Rosen	3625		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
3 ** *** 17 *					
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statutory is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statutory is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statutory is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statutory is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the statutory is calculated from: (2) the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for reply expire is a statut	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS For and the appropriation of the fee. The approprimally set in the final Official States	on ILED WITHIN te extension fee tate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL		te or the final rejection, (	even ii umeiy iiled,		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS		. 91 4	•		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel		ducina or cimplifuina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ille issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ecteu ciaims.			
			(570) 66()		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	<u>t</u> be entered s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a i).		
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.					
Δ4	<b>F</b> : 4 6				
Wilholan D. Ro	en February 9, 2007				
NICHOLĀS D. ROS PRIMARY EXAMIN	EN				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, but found unpersuasive.

Continuation of 13. Other: This supersedes the Advisory Action signed February 3, 2007, with a mailing date reported to be February 7.